

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Α	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/450.037	11/29/99	VENABLE		R	ZW-24B
halid 5 a d o m o m		$\neg$	EXAMINER		
W. H. MEIS	***** b	MM91/0918			
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION 608-BLDG. 27			<u>SNOW.W</u>		
COCKHEED MA P O BOX 156		ATION 608-BLDG.	27	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KING OF PRU	SSIA PA 1941	06		2862	
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/18/01

	iroup Art Unit					
Germany  Germany  Germany						
LAMINIE C						
W-2 NOW 1	-94 2					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the corre	spondence address—					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FFOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ROM THE MAILING DATE					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDO.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, retern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	lays will be considered timely. of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	-					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the accordance</b> with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is closed in					
Disposition of Claims						
(Claim(s) 6,7, 16 all 7 is/are pend	$_{-}$ is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)is/are without the above claim(s)is/are wit	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
D-Claim(s) 17 is/are allow  Claim(s) 6, 9 cuf 1 6 is/are rejection	_ is/are allowed.					
□ Claim(s) is/are object	•					
□ Claim(s) are subject requiremen						
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  *Certified copies not received:						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary	y, PTO-413					
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □						
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 2862

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 8,9 and 16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foxworthy in view of Daetz et al, both of record, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action.

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In substituting Hall effect sensors for the reed switch sensors in the device of Foxworthy,
one skilled in the art would not use the oscillatory system.

4. Claim 17 is allowed.

Snow/tr

9/10/01

Walter Snow Primary Examiner